•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/030,779 <b>V</b>	ROETHLINGSHOEFER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erma Cameron	1762
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Erma Cameron</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Abraham Ronai</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: White		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed:  White		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the applicatio of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a wr	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

**Application No. 10/030,779** 

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant submitted a draft of proposed changes in the independent claims 17 and 35 to recite deposition of metal in both claims. This amendment will make the claims, and those dependent on claims 17 and 35, allowable. The applicant will now submit the amended claims formally to be entered..